

**Minutes of the Meeting of the Member Council of the Koninklijke Vereniging 'Het Friesch Paarden—
Stamboek' (KFPS) on Friday 2 June 2023 in Zwolle.**

Attendance

Member Council:	33 members of the Member Council
Board:	Miel Janssen, Tineke Schokker (Chairwoman), Jan Veldhuis, Ella Wiersma
Studbook office:	Marijke Akkerman, Nynke Bakker, Greetje Swart
Minutes secretary:	Petra van Hoorn (Hét Notulistenteam)

1. Welcome

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker opens the meeting at 19.10 hrs and welcomes those present and the members who are listening in via the computer. Apologies for absence have been received from Fer Smit, Arno Thomassen, Murk de Jong and Ted Vanderkooi. Tiffany Reyenga- Vanderkooi, Ute Eden, Leonie Osinga-Hartman, Joke Heida, Jan-Hein Stadhouders and Luuk de Boer are initially present as listeners and will officially become members of the Member Council after today's meeting. Frank Fokkens is a temporary acting member and has the right to vote.

2. Announcements

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker commemorates the members who passed away in the past six months: 9 December 2022 Krijn van Muiswinkel at the age of 77, 27 March 2023 Hans Hofstee at the age of 87, 31 March 2023 Gooitzen Wester at the age of 40, 9 April 2023 Jelke Wierstra at the age of 89, 4 May 2023 Jan Bosma at the age of 82 and 4 May 2023 Louise Hompe at the age of 68. A moment of silence is observed.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker informs the Member Council that with the discontinuation of the temporary Corona Emergency Act, digital voting is no longer allowed since 1 February 2023. They will look into the possibility to amend the Statutes to make this possible again.

Miel Janssen declares that one stallion proved to be unsound in walk during the postponed saddle exam and consequently had to leave the Central Examination. This was reason for the owner to have the stallion examined by a veterinarian, who concluded that the stallion was inadequately shod. Hence the owner handed in an application for a reassessment to the Board. They referred the stallion to Utrecht for further examination where a fractional deviation was found. In case of a serious mistake conducted by an assistant involved in the examination, the Board is authorised to decide in favour of a reassessment. However, based on the examination carried out in Utrecht the Board ruled that this was not a case of a serious mistake and therefore refused the application for reassessment. The owner then lodged a formal complaint and we tried to find a solution because this is a grey area, but agreement could not be reached and the owner resorted to the Board of Appeals after the Board had also rejected the formal complaint. After that, the owner asked for a renewed consultation which resulted in a final agreement about the reassessment: the stallion will take part in the next Central Examination and will be assessed in the same way as all other stallions; In this context we have come to a settlement which excludes any claims for liabilities.

An appeal procedure initiated by the owner of a dead mare whose lineage was proven incorrect, is still ongoing. DNA testing conducted in 2013 revealed that the lineage is incorrect and that the results of hair sample testing in 2003 were inadequately processed.

The final result of the appeal is that the KFPS is accountable for the incurred damage because it should have been clear in 2003 that the lineage was indeed incorrect. Following that the owner initiated a damages assessment involving a considerable claim, but the Court ruled that the owner only suffered a limited loss. The appeal procedure against this ruling is still ongoing.

Jan Veldhuis gives an update regarding the roaring testing of Boet 516 offspring. In 2020 the decision was taken together with the Member Council to start monitoring the Boet 516 for roaring. The Board then decided to subject the Boet 516 to scoping as 1-year-olds and to compare these to a control group. If it turns out that roaring occurs substantially more than average in Boet 516 offspring, then this method can help to contain the breed-technical damage.

In this research, 33 Boet 516 offspring and 43 other horses in a control group were tested and none of the tested horses were diagnosed with roaring (class 4 not acceptable). The results of both control group and the Boet 516 offspring range from 1 (no remarks) to class 3 (just acceptable), but presently no statistically valid conclusion can be drawn in relation to more or less occurrence of the various classes in either the control group or the Boet 516 offspring. The research does however, reveal that the control group contains many offspring from one stallion, which to a certain extent leads to a distorted image and it is under discussion whether or not an extra control group should be set up. The Boet 516 offspring will be re-tested when 3-year-olds, as well as a control group.

In most cases, in more than 90%, the cause remains unclear. On the basis of this it would be wrong to conclude that roaring is 90% hereditary. Only limited research has been carried out into the hereditary aspect of roaring. Research focused for instance on looking for (potentially) responsible genes. The fact that this research was not successful demonstrates that heredity of roaring is most likely more complex than in the case of e.g. hydrocephaly and dwarfism. Nevertheless, the research justifies the conclusion that roaring definitely has a hereditary component. This was reason to augment the text in the Boet 516 report to the effect that breeders have to factor in an increased chance of roaring in offspring.

Doeke Hoekstra asks if the re-testing takes place with the same number of 3-year-olds. Marijke Akkerman replies that this is the most likely scenario. Jan-Wietse de Boer argues that the same control group should be used for re-testing.

3. Documents received

No documents have been received.

4. Approval minutes previous meeting

Ted Vanderkooi should be Jack Vanderkooy.

Based on the inclusion of the above adjustment the minutes of the previous meeting are approved.

With reference to:

Sjoerd Veenstra points out that on page 8 it should be stated more clearly that the question was why a stallion was side-lined. The Chairwoman's reply was 'we are dealing with this, next question' and then he retorted that this was not a suitable reply. The Confidential Committee has explained this to her, which prompted her to call him and offer her apologies.

Miel Janssen clarifies that this concerns the situation with the stallion that left the Central Examination because of unsoundness in the walk. At the time the complaint had not yet been finalised.

In Sjoerd Veenstra's opinion the Chairwoman should have said that they expected this to turn into a legal case and that they were advised not to give any information concerning the case.

Paul Tanck enquires if there is new information regarding the rights of DNA ownership. Miel Janssen points out that this is under investigation by a lawyer and that most likely more clarity can be given in six months' time.

5. Year Report 2022

Ella Wiersma talks the Member Council through the highlights of 2022:

- There was an online Stallion Inspection in 2022.
- At his first participation in the inspection as a KFPS Studbook stallion Herre 524 won the Overall Championship and Johannes 484 prolonged his Title in the category older stallions. Gert Cent became Breeder of the Year and also bred the Horse of the Year, Baukje C. Alwin 469 became Preferent.
- There was a slight growth in KFPS members and for the first time there were more foreign than Dutch members. YoungKFPS also achieved growth.
- The KFPS members unanimously decided to initiate an investigation into the preservation of the Friesian horse.
- Roelof Bos, Detlef Elling and Jan Raaijmakers took their farewell from the Board and were appointed ambassadors of the KFPS.
- There was a light negative financial result and in 2023 several cost savings were implemented such as ten instead of twelve issues of Phryso per year.
- 2022 was the year of more...more...more. In 2022 there were more members, more foals and more inspections, which required lots of dedication and flexibility on the part of Jury members and office staff.
- At the Central Inspection the 3-year-old Janke LW became Overall Champion. Fifteen mares received the Model predicate and the number of Preferent Performance mares as well as the number of Sport- and Sport Elite horses grew. Eight stallions received the status of Studbook stallion.
- There were 450,000 website visitors and the newsletters and socials were also successful.
- A doping control was carried out at the Central Inspection, the IBOP and the First Viewing and out of the sixteen tested horses one tested positive.
- Marijke Akkerman became Director, Tineke Schokker became Chairwoman of the Board and Jan Veldhuis and Martine Breedveld took a seat on the Board.

From the regional meetings the request came to include all benefits and costs in the diagram on page 5 and to list the names of the showdriving champions. In response to the Year Report there was a request to make it easier for horses that leave the Central Examination to re-join sooner. Cor van Zadelhoff is willing to support the application for the Friesian horse as national cultural heritage.

Year Report Integrity Committee:

Between October 2020 and end 2022 the Integrity Committee issued six recommendations concerning integrity matters, two on the subject of 'Handling information' and four on the subject of 'The appearance of conflict of interest'. The members, the Board and the KFPS staff are aware of the great importance of integrity, whose aim is more trust in and more respect for each other. There was a workshop *Integrity* for the Jury Body and this year too this topic will be addressed on the Management Training Day.

6. Financial statements

a. Annual Account 2022

Miel Janssen explains that a Stallion Inspection without audiences prompted the decision in the autumn of 2022 to agree on a € 100,000.00 negative budget but that the end result was over € 34,000.00 positive. This was mainly due to a larger freefall in the post for studbook certificates by readjusting the return percentage from 60% to 40%. The value of investments dropped by € 165,000.00 because of rising market rates. Reimbursements for Jury members, ring masters, chippers and volunteers were also higher than budgeted.

b. Report Financial Committee

The Financial Committee consisted of Gerard Lokhorst, Ben Nijhof and Arno Thomassen. The annual financial documents were discussed with the Treasurer and Director and the recommendation was made to establish a deposit arrangement in order to limit the losses on the investments and to continue the search for the purchase of an office building. The Financial Committee has no further comments and advises to grant discharge to the Board for their management in 2022.

c. Approval Annual Account 2022

The Annual Account is approved.

d. Proposed discharge of the Board

The Board is granted discharge for their management in 2022.

Deposit savings

Miel Janssen explains that in view of the rising market rates it is interesting to put money in a deposit maturity account. In consultation with the Financial Committee, the Board has already taken out a maturity deposit until and including the summer of 2023, so that this money will be quickly released in case the Member Council does not agree, and this strategy has yielded € 20,000.00. The Board motions to add the following text to the Treasury Statutes: 'The Board is authorised to secure surplus liquid assets in one or more temporary (savings) deposit maturities. In this context the minimal required liquidity on the basis of the liquidity prognosis must be taken into account. For securing fixed liquid assets in (savings) deposit maturities at institutions the KFPS has as yet no banking agreement with and/or (savings) deposits with a maturity of more than twelve months, the prior approval of the Financial Committee is mandatory. Putting liquid assets in (savings) deposits with a maturity of more than sixty months requires prior consent of the Member Council.'

The Member Council approves this motion with 32 votes in favour and 1 abstention.

Extra budget for staff

The Board asks for an extra budget of € 100,000.00 a year for the next three years to hire extra staff, either as employees or freelance, to set to work on for instance sustainability- and health issues, contract management, contracts with foreign daughter associations, suppliers and research parties, ICT, the change in structure, updating regulations, legal issues and innovations such as an inspection App. This budget will be taken out of the Own Equity.

Jan-Wietse de Boer reckons that € 100,000.00 will be far too little to solve all problems and proposes to set up a provision for special projects which will not be charged to the budget. Miel Janssen explains that a provision is not possible from an accounting point of view and that most likely he refers to an allocation reserve. Such an allocation reserve is already in place for the health research and could also be formed for this purpose.

Gerard Lokhorst would like to hear the substantiation for the sum of € 100,000.00.

Miel Janssen says that in theory we probably don't have enough formation to make a push for quality and the Board is considering to add 0.7 to 1 fte.

Paul Tanck urges to employ more people right away to get things in order, afterwards fte numbers can be reduced again.

Frank Fokkens agrees that it sounds like quite a challenge to realise all the things Miel Janssen mentioned with € 100,000.00 and he would like to have substantiation so that he can establish the progress of certain activities and what costs were involved. He further comments that ranging it under the umbrella of 'staff expenses' is not really adequate, since this is actually more of an investment in the Studbook. Lastly he would like to know if improvement of the organisation is also being looked into, for example in the shape of computerisation.

John Boot understands the question and senses the urgency but still requires substantiation and proposes to make the € 100,000.00 available for the next six months, following which the Board draws up an action plan and presents it at the next meeting of the Member Council. He is keen to keep good employees on board.

Miel Janssen agrees.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker concludes that the motion will be changed: there is a request for a budget of € 100,000.00 for the rest of the year 2023 and the Board then presents a well-substantiated motion for the rest of the funding.

Jan-Wietse de Boer advises to consider carefully if more money is needed.

Sjoerd Ruiter does not want to give a blank cheque, he wants to know what the € 100,000.00 will be spent on.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker gives the example of amending Statutes and Regulations and points out that presently a lot is done by the Board itself.

Mirella van Leeuwen agrees to make the € 100,000.00 available for the next six months and states that the workload should be manageable for the work organisation.

Ella Wiersma understands that the Member Council wants to hear a substantiation but at the same time points out that the Board would not ask for this if it would not be really necessary. The work organisation is overtaxed and the Board puts an incredible amount of their spare time into the KFPS, it cannot go on like this.

Jan Veldhuis is terribly concerned about the workload and the pressure on the work organisation because of it. In connection with the regional meetings he frequently didn't get more than three hours of sleep in the past fortnight. The Board takes on a lot of work. The KFPS does not cost him half-a-day's work per week as he was told, but at least two to three days a week and he believes a push for quality is necessary.

Frank Fokkens agrees that this is a matter of urgency but insists on a plan and in case € 400,000,00 or € 500,000.00 is needed we probably have to prioritise.

John Boot asks to put this to the vote after the closed part.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker goes along with this request. She adjourns the meeting.

Adjournment

Closed part

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker re-opens the public part of the meeting.

The Member Council unanimously approves to make € 100,000.00 available for 2023 to make a start with various matters, and the Board has committed themselves to come forward with an action plan in the autumn of 2023.

Extra budget Jury Body

The Board motions to raise the half-a-day's allowance for Jury members for travel days abroad to a full daily allowance. The expenses involved amount to € 15,000.00 per year.

The Member Council unanimously approves the motion.

Doeke Hoekstra has heard that Jury members travelling abroad have to make advance payments for expenses themselves when they're not looked after by the foreign association.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker states that the Jury members can be given an advance.

Janneke Haverschmidt wonders if the foreign members are sufficiently aware of the fact that for reimbursement of the deposit, studbook certificates have to be returned within one month after a horse's death. In any case, she wants to post a message about this for the members in Germany.

Nynke Bakker explains that the official procedure is that certificates have to be returned within one month after a horse's death, but that this is difficult to monitor and common practice is to refund the deposit in real time once the certificate has been returned.

Jan-Wietse de Boer would prefer a situation whereby dead horses are automatically signed out with the RVO (identification and registration of horses, *ed.*).

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker replies that it is not always easy to arrange something with the RVO. Automatic signing out of dead horses may become possible in the future, but right now this is not an option.

Gerarda van Harten would like more meetings because this meeting too takes a long time.

Jan Veldhuis points out that the KWPN meets four times per year and those meetings are always fully attended.

8. Amendment Regulations

Stallion Inspection Regulations

Jan Veldhuis states that the amendment has been adjusted based on input from the regional meetings.

Gerarda van Harten would like to postpone this agenda item because it gave rise to a lot of discussion in the preliminary talks.

According to Jan Veldhuis then all the preparatory work done by SIC, Inspection, Breeding Council, MT and Board and at the regional meetings would be wasted. He is keen to tackle this issue now so that actions in relation to outcrossing can commence. Moreover, there are some things in the Regulations that represent a risk and if these are not timely addressed the risk remains.

Gerarda van Harten says that her region would vote against if voting takes place today.

Marijke Akkerman says that we will first deal with many questions from the regions.

Gerarda van Harten replies that this will involve a lot of time and then she would no longer have the opportunity to consult her members.

Marijke Akkerman counters that this won't take more than ten minutes.

Jan Veldhuis refers to one regional meeting where someone was against the motion and came up with fifteen points. These points were new for the Board and these were subsequently shared on WhatsApp via photos, it turned out to involve the newsletter of the Groningen-Drenthe combination. These and other points have meanwhile been considered by the Board and the MT and have been included in the next sheets. Responding to the question what the Member Council could do better, he remarks that the Council members could possibly pass on potential comments (in writing) at an earlier stage.

Amendments:

Article 5, Shoeing

New text: Shoeing has to be correct, this means that masking unacceptable leg- and/or foot stance is not allowed.

Gerarda van Harten asks who decides what correct shoeing is.

According to Jan Veldhuis the best possible shoeing is in the interest of the horse's welfare. He further remarks that it is relevant that the Stallion Inspection Committee can indicate when shoeing is incorrect according to the regulations. The Jury can accept a minor case of toeing-out because a stallion can compensate this with other aspects, but when the condition is unacceptable this is not possible.

Gerarda van Harten remarks that no stud stallion has entirely straight hoof conformation and that raises the question if that might have consequences.

Jan Veldhuis replies that this is covered by the word 'correct' and that the Stallion Inspection Committee has the option to seek advice from for instance a farrier.

In Jan-Wietse de Boer's opinion it is quite normal practice to apply a bit of corrective shoeing and he thinks it would be a good idea to seek some advice on this matter from the Dutch Association of Farriers.

According to Jan Veldhuis it is important to have criteria in the regulations to prevent discussions.

Article 7, First Viewing

A question about Star declaration of 2-year-old stallions: Article 13 of the Registration Regulations states that a stallion can be declared Star at the age of 2½. It also says that Foalbook stallions can become Star during the First Viewing in the year when they turned two.

Article 10, Second Chance

A question about two inspections in one year: in Article 7 of the Inspection Regulations it says that in connection with one inspection per year: 'exceptions to this rule are the Central Inspection and the Stallion Inspection'. The motion is to change the autumn 'stallion inspection' in the Registration Regulations to 'stallion selection'.

Article 11, Presentation Days

Owners can hand in a request to allow a stallion to join a year later and the Stallion Inspection Committee is the deciding party. One region came up with the suggestion that it would be better to leave the decision to owners. Such a request is often granted, but this decision does not fall to owners and this fact won't be changed.

Article 12, Central Examination

12.2, Assessment and procedures

'During the Central Examination the Stallion Inspection Committee is advised by two members of the Performance Jury' is going to be changed to 'During the Central Examination the Stallion Inspection Committee will be advised by several advisors'.

12.2.4, Final conclusion

- In one of the regions a member posited to find it odd that the Board decides about whether or not to register a stallion in the Studbook register. This is not something that has been changed and what's more, on the advice of Mastenbroek the regulations were recently extended by stating in which cases a binding advice on the part of the Stallion Inspection Committee can be overruled by the Board; The primary task of the Board hereby is to verify if procedures were followed correctly, conform Byelaws Article 106.
- In the regions the observation was made that 'The complete Board should take this decision as a whole': starting point is that the Board has joint authority and in this case based on the Statutes a minimum of two people is required.
- The Regulations state that no appeal is possible against the ruling of the Board. An addition says that neither a complaint nor an objection can be lodged because Article 109 1d of the Byelaws also state that neither complaint nor objection can be lodged against inspection results.

Article 13, Short Test

After a question about the sport requirements: In dressage a horse does not have to be Sport Elite, the requirement is Prix St. George or higher and a minimum of three starts with a minimum score of 65%.

Article 14, Radiological examination

For the location Osteochondrosis hock - Sagittal tabiakam and the location Osteochondrosis knee - Lateral rolkam femur the categories a, b and c were acceptable. This has been changed to: only category a is acceptable.

The underlying reasoning of veterinarians for this is that in case of Osteochondrosis in these locations it cannot be ruled out that this was an occurrence of Osteochondrosis that has been treated by means of surgery. These locations have a relatively high hereditary profile for OCD and therefore lead to exclusion from breeding.

Article 14.3, X-rays and evaluation

Interim changes of radiological criteria have no impact on already approved stallions. Due to questions in this context the text in the Regulations will be amended: 'then this result is also valid for potential future stallion inspections' will be changed to 'then this result is also valid for potential future stallion selections'.

Article 15.1, Criteria semen quality

The Regulations state that the semen report remains valid for a period of twelve months. After having received a question, the text 'in case a stallion in the selection trajectory is referred to a next year, a new report has to be handed in seven days before the assessment in the next year takes place' will be replaced by 'in case a stallion in the selection trajectory is referred to a next year then a new report has to be handed in ten days after the referral'.

Ben Nijhof points out that the region East Netherlands also made a comment concerning Article 6, the Doping Regulations.

Jan Veldhuis explains that this stipulation is in fact superfluous because of the existence of the Equestrian Doping Regulations. This Article was upheld and supplemented with already approved stallions. On the basis of the Disciplinary Regulations the stud license of a stallion who tested positive cannot be withdrawn. The Board has the authority to test at any given moment, but, also against the background of cost-effectiveness, they do not feel the need to introduce testing of the stallions in the Central Examination as a standard procedure.

Ben Nijhof advises in favour of doing this, also because it helps to avoid unnecessary complications and to protect the Studbook.

According to Richard Flier, testing for forbidden substances is not done very often whereas it is common knowledge that cheating is a fairly widespread practice.

Ben Nijhof points out that people would like more information about the stallion dams.

Marijke Akkerman replies that the Stallion Inspection Committee assesses the dam line and that there is also a lot of information to be found in the stallion reports that are published in the Phryso.

Ben Nijhof would like the Breeding Council to look into the added value of a Short Test.

Jan Veldhuis agrees to pass this information on to the Breeding Council.

Ben Nijhof would like to see point 3 'just acceptable' taken out of Article 18.

Jan Veldhuis replies that 'just acceptable' means that there is no sign of roaring so he prefers to uphold this point. Marijke Akkerman adds that the four classes are in fact the international standard.

According to Ben Nijhof it is not wise to organise the Central Examination in Exloo now that Koos Naber is no longer Head of Training there.

Marijke Akkerman replies that this has nothing to do with Koos Naber. The next Central Examination is taking place in Exloo again but we are also trying to find another location for after that.

Sjoerd Ruiter thinks that stallions with a little more white on the soles should also be eligible for approval.

Jan Veldhuis says that not the Regulations, but the implementation provision states that white on the soles is not allowed.

Nynke Bakker points out that Article 16 of the Regulations state that any white in a stallion is highly undesirable. The implementation provision states that stallions are checked for white on the soles at the Second Viewing and the Second Chance and as a rule it is at the discretion of the Stallion Inspection Committee how they decide to deal with it. If they think a stallion should continue because of other aspects then that is possible.

Jan-Wietse de Boer advises to add a permitted percentage of white on the soles to the Regulations to clear this up.

Nynke Bakker explains that for instance the Breeding Council and the Inspection are looking into the possibility to be more lenient in terms of white markings.

Ben Nijhof inquires after the possibility to shorten the Central Examination from seventy to fifty days and to inspect 4-year-old instead of 3-year-old stallions.

Marijke Akkerman replies that they are reconsidering what would be the best age. She adds that the Central Examination covers a period of ten weeks because it includes two disciplines.

Ben Nijhof is aware that earlier, the Central Examination also used to be seventy days but nowadays we have the Presentation Days too, which provide a lot of information in advance.

Marijke Akkerman explains that it takes ten weeks to assess a stallion away from the influence of the training stable.

Sjoerd Ruiters believes that you don't need ten weeks to be able to assess whether or not a stallion is good.

Jan Veldhuis explains that the period of seventy days is also linked to the aspect of animal welfare. If the period is shorter the work intensity would be different (the seventy days include rest days) and the Breeding Council sees no reason to shorten this period.

The Member Council approves the Regulation amendment with 17 votes in favour and 7 opposing votes.

Jan Veldhuis informs the Member Council that the amended Regulations will become effective from the moment when it is published on the website on the 6th of June next.

9. Studbook affairs

a. Structure Committee

b. Registration crossbreeding

Because of the time this agenda item will be discussed at a later date.

10. Current affairs

Accommodation KFPS office

Ella Wiersma reports that so far no suitable location to buy property for the Studbook office has been found within the triangle Leeuwarden-Drachten-Heerenveen and therefore the office will be moved to a rental property on the outskirts of Drachten on the 1st of March 2024. This involves a rental contract for five years with the option of annual renewals of the contract for one year and the option to terminate the rent after three years. Expenses remain virtually the same and the staff are enthusiastic about the accommodation. A rental agreement has been signed subject to the approval by the Member Council.

Jan-Wietse de Boer would like more information about the owner of the property and if it offers room for more staff.

Jan Veldhuis answers that the property belongs to three (former) lawyers and that it offers space for more staff.

Frank Fokkens asks if the option of hire-purchase has been discussed.
Jan Veldhuis replies that the owners don't want to sell.

The Member Council unanimously approves the motion.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker thanks the outgoing Council members Annemiek Mandemaker, Doeke Hoekstra, Peter Kamminga, Wilbert Lucius, Marit van Ingen Schenau and Ted Vanderkooi and the outgoing members who are present receive a bouquet of flowers.

11. Any other business

Doeke Hoekstra thanks everyone for the cooperation in the past nine years. He realises that the Studbook faces many and important challenges, such as the health research.

Annemiek Mandemaker asks to publish the names of the Council members on the website and to link every Council member to an email address. She thanks everybody for the pleasant cooperation.

Jan-Wietse de Boer would like to step up the number of meetings to e.g. four times a year.

Paul Tanck would like to return to the situation of twelve instead of ten issues of Phryso per year. Marijke Akkerman replies that this has not been included in the Budget. But it might be possible to add more volume to the Phryso now and then.

Sjoerd Ruiter was surprised to see that stallion Jasper 366 received so much attention at the Stallion Inspection. In his opinion that doesn't do justice to other deceased stallions. Marijke Akkerman responds that Jasper 366 has become the showpiece of the KFPS and the NPO (TV channel, *ed.*) is even working on a documentary about him.

Sjoerd Ruiter asks if the shopping village could stay open a bit longer on the Saturday evening after the Stallion Inspection.

Marijke Akkerman explains that this is not possible due to the tight deadline for dismantling everything.

Jan Veldhuis adds that this would also affect the price tag involved.

John Boot reports on behalf of Fer Smit that the publication of newly-approved stallions shows a side-view of the stallions. In some cases the stallions are presented in a too positive way on the photo, with the foreleg in the middle of the path but the hindquarters towards the verge which gives breeders a wrong first impression of the stallion in question. It happens that a stallion described as a horse with an uphill build and who is correctly presented on the photo, appears to have a less uphill build than a horse described as a stallion with a horizontal build. For the purpose of breeding he advises to always photograph all stallions on a 100% flat surface so that no stallion is portrayed in a way that could potentially enhance the build. Chairwoman Tineke Schokker takes note of this suggestion.

Peter Kamminga thanks everybody for the cooperation.

From the side of the foreign members the question is raised if there will be more seminars about for example IVF and embryo transfer. They also point out that they would like the clinics at the SI to be translated as well.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker takes note of this suggestion.

13. Closure

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker thanks everyone for their attendance and input and closes the meeting at 23.45 hrs.