

KFPS • ROYAL FRIESIAN
KONINKLIJK FRIESCH PAARDEN-STAMBOEK

Attachment General Meeting

Regional- and Council Member Autumn 2021

FOTO: DIGISHOTS

Regional- and Member Council meeting Autumn 2021

Region	Date	Location
Het Friesche paard Limburg	Monday 8 November Starting 20.00 hrs	De Hoeberij, Kerkstraat 68 in Panningen
Het Friese paard Mid Netherlands	Tuesday 9 November Starting 20.00 hrs	Van der Valk Hotel Tiel Laan van Westroijen 10, 4003 AZ Tiel
Het Friese paard Wolvega	Wednesday 10 November Starting 20.00 hrs	Dorpshuis De Bult, Idzardaweg 47, 8476 EN Ter Idzard
Groningen Drenthe Combination	Monday 15 November Starting 20.00 hrs	Sunrise Stables, Baggelhuizen 2, Assen
Het Friesche paard in South Netherlands	Tuesday 16 November Starting 20.00 hrs	De Schuttershof, Dorpsstraat 2 in Esbeek
It Fryske Greidhynder	Tuesday 16 November Starting 20.00 hrs	De Buorskip, Vlaslaan 26, 9244 CH Beetsterzwaag
Het Friesche paard Twente Achterhoek	Wednesday 17 November Starting 20.00 hrs	Wapen van Delden Langestraat 242, 7491 AN Delden
Ta it Bihâld	Thursday 18 November Starting 20.00 hrs	Dorpshuis De Balstien, Van Cronenburgweg 1, 9257 VW Noardburgum
Het Friese paard in North- and South Holland	Friday 19 November Starting 20.00 hrs	Stoeterij Haalstra J. Glijnisweg 52, 1702 PD Heerhugowaard
It Fryske Hynder	Friday 19 November Starting 20.00 hrs	Café De Freonskip, Vitusdyk 1 te Blauwhuis

Different Corona measures apply to the meeting locations. A mail with the prevailing measures for the location of each region will be sent in early November.

Regional classification by postal code

The regional meetings are open to all members of the KFPS, so irrespective of being a member of a breeding chapter. In the Netherlands, the KFPS has ten different regions that overlap as much as possible with the areas of the breeding chapters. Below the postal code classification per region.

Region 1. Groningen Drenthe Combination: Postal codes 78, 774,775,776,790-796, 798, 799, 930-935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 94, 95, 96, 97, 980, 981, 983, 984, 988, 989, 99

Region 2. Ta it Bihâld: Postal codes 89, 904- 907, 909, 91, 9210, 9216- 9219, 922, 925- 929, 985, 987

Region 3. It Fryske Greidhynder: Postal codes 840, 844, 8465- 8469, 849, 8501, 8510- 8513, 854, 900, 901, 908, 920, 9211-9215, 923, 924, 936, 982, 986

Region 4. It Fryske Hynder: Postal codes 8500, 8502, 8503, 8514- 8519, 852, 8530, 8531, 8532, 8534, 8535, 8536, 855- 859, 86, 87, 88, 902, 903

Region 5. Het Friesche Paard Wolvega e.o: Postal codes 797, 83, 841, 842, 843, 845, 8460- 8464, 847, 848, 8504-8509, 8533, 8537, 8538, 8539

Region 6. Het Friesche Paard Twente Achterhoek: Postal codes 70, 71, 72, 74, 75, 76, 770- 773, 777, 778, 779, 810- 815

Region 7. Het Friesche Paard Mid Netherlands: Postal codes 12, 13, 34- 41, 650- 658, 666-669, 67, 68, 69, 73, 80, 816-819, 82

Region 8. Het Friesche Paard South Netherlands: Postal codes 32, 33, 42-53, 540, 541, 546- 549, 55, 56, 570, 571, 573, 574, 660-665

Region 9. Het Friesch Paard Limburg: Postal codes 542-545, 572, 575-579, 58-64, 659

Region 10. Het Friese Paard in North- and South Holland: Postal codes 10, 11, 14- 31

Meeting of the Member Council on Friday the 26th of November 2021, starting at 19.00 hrs.
Location: Van der Valk Hotel Zwolle, Nieuwleusenerdijk 1, 8028 PH Zwolle

Agenda Regional- and Council Member Meeting Autumn 2021

*** Voting for these items strictly only in the Member Council**

1. **Welcome**
2. **Announcements**
3. **Documents received**
4. **Current affairs**
5. a. Approval minutes previous meeting
   b. Feedback minutes MC meeting by one of the Council members from the region
6. **Finances**
   a. Budget 2022
   b. Establish membership fees and rates 2022
7. **Year Plan 2022**
8. **Appointments**
   - Council of Members

Nominations by the Board:

- Breeding Council*
- Stallion (Re)-Inspection Jury*
- Performance Jury*

9. **Redefinition KFPS breeding goal**
10. **Stallion Inspection Regulations: integration various regulations stallion selection**
    a. Amendment Stallion Inspection Regulations
    b. Amendment Byelaws Article 106
11. **Registration Regulations: amendment standards Sport predicate**
12. **Inspection Regulations: Postponed inspection date for nursing Star- and Crown mares**
13. **Evaluation inspection season 2021**
14. **Any other business**
15. **Closure**

Agenda item **5a. Minutes Meeting of the Member Council of the Koninklijke Vereniging 'Het Friesch Paarden—Stamboek' (KFPS) on Friday 28 May 2021 in Zwolle.**

Attendance

Member Council:	Janet van der Ark, Marina Bekx-Boons, John Boot, Richard Flier, Anouk Glas, Janneke Haverschmidt, Gerarda van Harten, Doeke Hoekstra, Hans Hurkmans, Marit van Ingen Schenau, Peter Kamminga, Mirella van Leeuwen, Gerard Lokhorst, Wilbert Lucius, Annemiek Mandemaker, Roel Oldenburger, Sjoerd Ruiter, Fer Smit, Paul Tanck, Corné Veldjesgraaf, Ytsen de Vries, Hanny van Wijncoop and Ferry Wolfswinkel. Via Windows Teams: Elaine de Boer, Tjibbe Bosma, Tjitse Bouma, Will Bron, Ana Maria de Jager, Ben Nijhof, Gerben Steenbeek and Ted Vanderkooi. Absent with apologies for absence: Hans Bothmer and Nadeen Davis. Absent without apologies for absence: Anders Henriksen
Board:	Roelof Bos, Detlef Elling (interim Chairman), Miel Janssen, Anita van Kempen, Jan Raaijmakers
Studbook office:	Marijke Akkerman, Nynke Bakker, Wytske Schuth
Minutes secretary:	Petra van Hoorn (Hét Notulistenteam)
Candidates Board members:	Tineke Schokker and Ella Wiersma
New Council members:	Present as guests at this meeting: Arno Thomassen, Jan Wietse de Boer, Age van der Zee, Sjoerd Veenstra, Charlotte Dumford, Erin Miley and Rosanne Palermo.

1. Welcome

Interim Chairman Detlef Elling opens the meeting at 19.05 hrs and welcomes all those present as well as the members who are listening in via Windows and Teams. There is a special welcome for the new members of the Member Council Arno Thomassen, Jan Wietse de Boer, Age van der Zee, Sjoerd Veenstra, Charlotte Dumford, Rosanne Palermo and Erin Miley. Next, he asks for a moment to remember

some KFPS members who have passed away. Feike de Boer died on the 15th of December 2020 at the age of 84, Petra van den Heuvel died on the 15th of February 2021 aged 71, Harry Witteveen died on the 27th of February 2021 aged 94 and Dirk Zwanenburg died on the 17th of April 2021 aged 85.

2. Announcements

Interim Chairman Detlef Elling motions to add the Settlement Agreement with Ids Hellinga to the closed part of the agenda. The Member Council approves. Apologies for absence were sent in by Hans-Jürgen Bothmer and Nadeen Davis. The 2021 Central Inspection will not be organised in Drachten because those premises are now a vaccination location, two other possible locations are under consideration (Harich or Tolbert).

3. Documents received

A letter from Jaap Boersma with the request to arrange, with the support from the Member Council and the Board, extra regional meetings about the governance document.

- A letter from Cees de Vrieze in which he asks for self-reflection on the part of the Member Council.
- A letter from Henk Smit with the request to continue streaming of the inspections in the future.
- A letter from Boudewijn Sengers with regard to the Mastenbroek report.

John Boot asks to put the Mastenbroek report on the agenda of the closed part of the meeting. He also remarks that he was expecting the Board to come forward with a suggestion about the livestreams.
Roelof Bos explains that livestreaming of the inspections on location will continue until the 1st of July 2021 and evaluations will follow in the autumn to consider whether livestreams are a possibility for 2022. Aspects that play a role are for instance the costs of € 350,00 per livestream and possibly the need for streaming multiple rings on breeding days.
Sjoerd Ruiter asks if the costs are shared with the locations.
Roelof Bos says there is no concrete proposal at the moment but a contribution from the location or other sponsoring is a possibility.
Marit van Ingen Schenau says that in Germany income is generated from commercials on the livestream of YouTube.
Paul Tanck reports that livestreaming is a good solution for many older people and he suggests making livestreams of breeding days is a good idea anyway. He would like to do a poll now.
Tjitze Bouma calls on the breeding chapters to consider organising livestreams themselves.
Roelof Bos wants to discuss this with the breeding chapters and does not want to take a decision now.
Fer Smit emphasises the informational value of the livestreams and wants this to be organised by the Studbook.
John Boot recognises the many advantages of livestreams, such as the accessibility of breeding information for Dutch- as well as foreign members, using it for training purposes and standardisation of the Juries.
Roelof Bos senses there is great support in the Member Council in favour of continuation of the livestreams.

Will Bron has sent a letter about the fact that FHANA has appointed three new members and he asks for a six-month continuation on the Member Council for the present three foreign members. He also wants the FHANA members on the Member Council to be chosen instead of merely being appointed.
Interim Chairman Detlef Elling understands his frustration, but the KFPS Board is not authorised to revoke the appointment of the three new members. The Board will however, enter talks with the FHANA and will point out that the members from the FHANA should have the same time in office as the members from the Netherlands and Germany, which is two terms of four years.

4. Approval minutes of the previous meeting of the Member Council

Page 14: 'exterior defects' has to be 'hereditary defects'.
The minutes of the Meeting of the Member Council of the 27th of November 2020 are approved with the inclusion of the above rectification.

With reference to:
Paul Tanck asks why the number of stud services is higher whereas the numbers reveal that the number of new foals is down by 1000.
Anita van Kempen replies that on average 1000 horses remain empty or abort the embryo. The proportion is more or less the same as with the KWPN. That is a lot and the Breeding Council can carry out further research into this matter.

On page 15 under point 10 it says: 'Ids states that the former text of article 15 will indeed be upheld', but it doesn't say so in the documents.
Janet van der Ark adds that it has been agreed that a horse will immediately be excluded from the Central Examination after Utrecht has confirmed the presence of a hereditary problem.

Tjitze Bouma asks if talks have already taken place with the Breeding Council regarding publication of semen quality.
Anita van Kempen responds this has as yet not been discussed within the Breeding Council.
Tjitze Bouma would like to see this matter dealt with.

5. Current affairs

Jan Raaijmakers reports that up till the 28th of May 2021 we had fourteen inspections on location and seventeen more are on the agenda. The number of inspected 3-year-old Foal Book mares is 118 and so far there are 12 first-premium mares and 72 second-premium mares. The first breeding day takes place on the 26th of June, the Central Inspection is from the 15th to the 18th of September and talks are going on about which inspections can go ahead in the foreign countries. The Presentation Days are not open to everybody but will be broadcast via livestream. A recent IBOP has produced high scores. Finally, he states that the Judging Courses will be resumed and in the autumn of 2021 the College Tour will also be organised again.

6. Annual Report 2020

Annemiek Mandemaker points out that on page 15 the Integrity Committee is missing.
Marijke Akkerman replies that this has been added to the online version of the Annual Report.
The Annual Report 2020 is approved.

7. Feedback Year Plan 2021

This agenda item was not discussed.

8. Financial statements

Miel Janssen sheds light on the finances. 2020 was a good year, but still, we have made a loss mainly due to the fact that the KFPS was declared liable to paying corporate taxes starting 2015 in connection with profits made in the past. We have been able to reach a favourable agreement with the Inland Revenue; we have to pay € 138,000.00 in corporate taxes and starting 2015 the provision for studbook certificates has been appraised at € 1 million. That lower appraisal is more realistic and moreover, in 2020 there will be a freefall of € 1.7 million which remains largely untaxed. Membership fees are exempted from turnover tax, that means it is not allowed to charge turnover tax on membership fees and therefore part of the advance tax deduction has to be corrected. This was not done in time in recent years and hence a correction was put in place from 2015 onwards. The € 138,000.00 corporate tax and the effect of the correction in connection with the turnover tax at € 43,000.00 lead to a loss of € 181,000.00.

In 2020 the proceeds were higher than budgeted, for one because early 2020, still pre-Corona, the Stallion Inspection and Friesian Proms took place including audiences. That is the reason why we did not make use of Corona support measures. Reimbursements for officials and volunteers were € 60,000.00 lower in connection with cancellations of the Central Inspection and inspections in foreign countries, on the other hand there were extra costs for, for instance, livestreams.

The Budget 2021 was based on a loss of € 360,000.00, consisting of a loss of € 200,000.00 for ongoing activities, like a Stallion Inspection without audiences and the cancellation of Friesian Proms. The cancellation of stud service fees in 2021. These will be added to the registration fees starting 2022, which leads to a loss of € 160,000.00. In 2021 the KFPS will apply for potential Corona support measures as a consequence of the reduced turnover, probably to the amount of around € 80,000.00.

a. Annual Account 2020
According to John Boot the deal which the KFPS has made with the Inland Revenue is indeed favourable, but he wonders if this could have been prevented. The accountant should have alerted the Board to the corporate tax liability and he would like to know if this could have been recognised at an earlier stage. He also would like to know what the factual amount is that should have been subjected to corporate tax and what the exact settlement amount is.

Miel Janssen explains that the first time a Dutch association became liable for corporate taxes occurred in 2006, and this did not lead to the realisation by accountant or the Society that the Studbook would be liable for corporate taxes. In 2011 a court ruling decided the NRPS (Dutch Riding Horse Society, ed.) was liable for corporate taxes. The KPMG accountant back in the day then asked the KFPS about our corporate tax liability, but this appeared not to be the case because the KFPS is a non-profit organisation. In 2019 the new accountant Bentacera raised the same question and in 2020 a scan was carried out by Bentacera followed by a second opinion by the KPMG. On this basis it was concluded that the KFPS is liable to pay corporate taxes. The Tax Authorities are authorised to recover tax moneys with a retroactive effect of up to five years, meaning that the liability starts in 2015. In consultation with the Tax Authorities the deposit provision was valued at € 1 million starting January the 1st 2015. This leaves a large part of the freefall untaxed, resulting in a saving of a few hundred thousand. Another scenario was to work towards a non-profit situation in the coming years, but that means the money has to be spent pretty quickly and that raises the question whether or not that would be in the interest of the Friesian horse.

The question is raised if this situation is the result of passive management and if anyone has considered to hold the Board or somebody else accountable.
Miel Janssen responds that this is not how this subject was discussed. In the end it worked out pretty favourably from a financial perspective, the Society has not incurred any damage.

b. Report Financial Committee
Miel Janssen answers the question from the Financial Committee about the costs for testing the Boet 516 offspring for roaring. For the first generation those costs will be covered by the Society via an allocated reserve. Another question is about the negative interest rates, the Financial Committee asks if this money might produce a better return by for example, purchasing an office building. Right now real estate is very expensive and the Board is willing to investigate this option once office buildings start becoming cheaper. We expect a 60% return of studbook certificates belonging to horses that are still alive. This is possibly the result of the new I&R Rules which urge people from 2021 onwards to keep closer tabs on their administration because all horses now have to be registered, and so deposits will be reimbursed. In reality this is currently closer to 30%. Regarding costs for a lawyer and claims, Miel Janssen reports that there is still an ongoing court case about a mare's parentage which caused us to include an obligation of € 25,000.00 plus additional legal costs in the Annual Account. According to the Board this is a liberal estimate of the obligation. The number of doubtful debtors is higher than in previous years because there are relatively many unpaid invoices from foreign members due to the absence of inspections in those foreign countries. We also had doubtful debtors in the Netherlands, but recovering debts in foreign countries is more difficult. Once the inspections in foreign countries get underway again those unpaid invoices have to be paid before inspecting takes place.

John Boot struggles with this last fact. In his opinion people have to be expelled if they don't pay their membership fees after several reminders.
Interim Chairman Detlef Elling points out that the usual procedure in France is that membership fees are only due when people actually breed and he wants to look into the legal structures that prevail abroad.
Nynke Bakker states that just like Dutch members, foreign members are also deregistered if they don't pay membership fees, but that means those moneys still remain outstanding.
Janet van der Ark believes that the people in France are a bit neglected by the KFPS, which has caused a drop in the popularity of the Friesian horse and is the reason why membership fees more often remain unpaid. She believes something needs to be done about the French organisation.

In Tjitze Bouma's view four MT members out of ten staff members is quite a lot and he wants to know why the Financial Committee rates staff expenses to be in good proportion to the proceeds.
Gerard Lokhorst responds that the Financial Committee holds the view that there is a good balance between staff expenses and the overall financial position of the KFPS.
Miel Janssen adds that staff expenses amount to € 651,000.00 out of € 3.2 million. We have an efficient office organisation which falls under a fairly frugal collective labour agreement for sport.
Wytske Schuth explains that Jury members and volunteers also require management from MT members.

Miel Janssen remarks that the Central Examination should be more budget neutral and the Board is going to investigate how this can be realised.

Janet van der Ark disagrees with the deposit arrangement because people have to pay € 45.50 to register a horse in their name and only receive a reimbursement of € 17.00 when the studbook paper is returned.
Miel Janssen replies that everybody who sticks by the rules receives those € 45.50 minus administration fees. In case of the horse's death this is the full amount without a deduction for administration fees. For the sale of a live animal administration fees are payable.
Janet van der Ark argues that this is not the definition of a deposit fee and therefore requests a change of name.

Gerard Lokhorst states that the Financial Committee, consisting of Peter Kamminga, Ben Nijhof and Gerard Lokhorst, have received the financial documents and discussed the Annual Account with Miel Janssen and Nynke Bakker on the 8th of April 2021. Finances have been soundly managed and we have come to a good deal with the Tax Authorities. The Financial Committee advises to grant discharge to the Board for its management.

c. Approval Annual Account 2020
The meeting approves the Annual Account 2020 with 26 votes in favour and 2 abstentions.

d. Proposed discharge of the Board
The Board is granted discharge for their management of the year 2020 with 26 votes in favour and 2 abstentions.

9. Appointments

Tineke Schokker is candidate chairman and introduces herself. She lives in Eastermar, is married and has two children and three grand-children. Until May the 3rd 2021 she was Mayor of Vlieland, before that she was a Provincial Executive and she has also worked in the education sector. Shortly after its foundation she worked at the Fries Paardencentrum for two years. At some point her husband started breeding with a Friesian mare, but it turned out the mare had been covered by a KWPN stallion and since then she has been breeding KWPN horses. She has also owned Friesian horses but these were sold when her agenda as a Provincial Executive became too busy. She considers it an incredible achievement how, from a very tiny population back in the 60s and 70s, the Studbook has managed to breed a Friesian horse that has presence, good connections and movement and which can hold its own in the sport. She's happy to be able to make a contribution to this Studbook.

Ella Wiersma lives in Wytgaard and she owns two broodmares. Breeding a Friesian foal was always a dream for her, but after her studies she started her own company and there was no time for horses. After the sale of her company she purchased two broodmares. She is passionate about the Friesian horse, has enrolled for the Judging Course and has international entrepreneur- as well as management experience. She's looking forward to the challenge of contributing to the Studbook.

Closed part

Interim Chairman Detlef Elling reopens the meeting and announces the outcome of the voting.

- *Appeals Committee*
  E.J. Kuiters is re-appointed.

- *Breeding Council*
  J. Vriend is re-appointed
  B. Schaap is re-appointed.

- *the Board*
  Tineke Schokker-Strampel is appointed.
  Ella Wiersma is appointed.

- *Confidential Committee*
  Ferry Wolfswinkel is appointed.

- *Financial Committee*
  Peter Kamminga is appointed.
  Ben Nijhof is appointed.

- *Board of Appeals*
  Mr K. Bunk is re-appointed.

10. Motion amendment Regulations Stallion Inspection

Jan Raaijmakers explains how Article 15 of the Stallion Inspection Regulations will be amended. When the Stallion Inspection Committee decides to send a stallion away for an external examination, this stallion must be transported straight to the Veterinary Faculty and straight back afterwards.
Janet van der Ark replies that the agreement was that a stallion with a negative advice concerning a hereditary issue would be refused further participation in the CE.
Jan Raaijmakers concludes by saying that the wording in the Article will be 'advice will be adopted' without the word 'urgent'.

The Member Council approves this motion with 26 votes in favour and 3 opposing votes.

11. Decision-making regulations concerning integrity

a. Amendments Statutes Article 20
b. Approval Regulations Integrity Committee
c. Amendments Byelaws: removal of Articles 112 & 113

Miel Janssen discusses a question raised by Wil Thijssen. The Integrity Committee consists of two independent members and one KFPS member and the Regulations state that the acting member of this committee has to be a member of the KFPS. According to Wil Thijssen the acting member should not be a member of the KFPS, so that the acting member can replace all three members of the Integrity Committee.

John Boot's counter suggestion is to appoint two acting members, one independent member and one member of the KFPS.
The Member Council approves the motion to add an independent acting member to the Integrity Committee with 30 votes in favour and 1 opposing vote. Hereby we have voted for John's suggestion: one independent member and one member of the KFPS.

The Member Council approves the motion Regulations regarding integrity with 27 in favour and 5 abstentions.

12. Any other business
Fer Smit remarks that stallion keepers incur many expenses for participation in the Central Examination whereas only four or five stallions obtain their stud license.
Miel Janssen concurs that we will look into the possibility of asking a higher contribution from stallion owners whose stallions are approved.

Janet van der Ark points out that prospective Jury members must be critically evaluated and she wants to know the price of the Mastenbroek report.
Regarding the first remark, Miel Janssen says this is up to those who deal with nominations. The cost of the Mastenbroek report was around € 35,000.00 excl. VAT.

Sjoerd Ruiter states that presently the Board has six members, which is not an odd number.
Interim Chairman Detlef Elling responds that they are looking into the rotation schedule.

Gerard Lokhorst adds that we need good role descriptions for the functions of Director and the person dealing with breeding issues.
Interim Chairman Detlef Elling agrees.

Doeke Hoekstra would like to see the inspections on location continued but he believes that breeding days should take place on neutral ground.

Hannie van Wijncoop asks if there is going to be another survey in 2021 and then preferably not just for participants of inspections on location but for all members.
Jan Raaijmakers says evaluations will take place at the end of the season and then it will be decided if another survey is necessary.

Paul Tanck would like to see all Star stallions tested for dwarfism and hydrocephaly to find out if theses disorders are passed on more to stallions or more to mares.
Jan Raaijmakers is not quite sure what the added value would be.

Will Bron thinks that if the conclusion of the Mastenbroek report is that everybody has done the right thing, then something must be wrong with the Regulations and this should be investigated.

After eight years Tjitze Bouma takes his farewell from the Member Council. From the new Board he expects renewed attention for vision, goals and a new strategy. We can now cash in on the popularity of the Friesian horse and it should be possible to breed lots more foals, demand for a healthy and well-behaved Friesian horse is huge. He has a few recommendations. First of all, we should devise a sound long-term plan about where we want the Friesian horse to stand in 2040 to 2050, what should they look like by then and what organisation and bodies are needed to achieve that. Secondly, the re-evaluation of the breeding goal has been on the agenda for quite some time. Currently this consists of three elements: exterior and movement / use and character / vitality and health. In recent years we have come up with a whole series of predicates linked to index figures, and his advice is to prioritise vitality, durability, fertility and health in the breeding goal. The second priority is character, which is presently just briefly described, with no certificates or predicates to be obtained and according to him, this is an area with huge potential. Thirdly, he mentions exterior and movement and this is where we have to make a step-by-step assessment about what a horse should look like in two or three generations. For this we should use linear scoring, but not based on a breed average but based on the desired situation, because that will yield a much better picture of the horse. Finally, he is in favour of re-evaluating the weight that is given to in-hand walk and trot because this is too much removed from under-saddle or in-harness movement under saddle. He thanks everyone for the cooperation, sometimes we had lively discussions but the interest of the Friesian horse always prevailed. He extends special thanks to Anita, Jan, Roelof and John too, as Chairman of the Member Council.

Ben Nijhof wants to see a further investigation into Koos Naber to prevent more trouble between now and the next two years. About three years ago the Member Council agreed to have the semen of young stallions monitored for DNA fragmentation and he wonders why this never got underway. Finally, he thanks all Council members and Board members for the cooperation.
Interim Chairman Detlef Elling answers that the Board is going to discuss the complaints about Koos Naber.
Anita van Kempen explains that the subject DNA fragmentation is still being examined.

Ted vanderKooi has always enjoyed his time on the Member Council but the manner in which the FHANA has appointed new members onto the Member Council he considers to be disrespectful. He thanks the Member Council and extends his best wishes to everybody.

Gerben Steenbeek thanks everyone for the cooperation and he too regrets his departure from the Member Council.

Interim Chairman Detlef Elling thanks the outgoing people for the work they have done for the Member Council. In addition to Will Bron, Ted vanderKooi, Gerben Steenbeek and Tjitze Bouma he is referring to Aise Bouma, Ytzen de Vries, Corné Veldjesgraaf.

Anita van Kempen started as a member of the Member Council and became a Board member at a time of great upheaval. As was agreed, she is now outgoing and Detlef Elling gives her a hearty thankyou for her commitment during her time in both functions.
Anita says a few words to the people in the room:
After a tricky start we have managed to regain a pleasant cooperation within the Board and now we are getting reinforcements in the shape of two top-class women. Most of the work within the KFPS is done by volunteers and the office organisation and she asks everybody to give them time and opportunity to get everything back on track, all in the interest of the Society and the Friesian horse. Being critical is fine, but preferably in the shape of constructive feedback while seeking the cooperation. Only then we can get back to being a pleasant association with people who are content and focused on the beautiful, black Friesian horse. She thanks everybody for the cooperation.

As a token of thanks, interim Chairman Detlef Elling presents Anita van Kempen, Ytzen de Vries and Corné Veldjesgraaf with a bouquet of flowers.

John Boot congratulates the two new Board members with their appointment and gives Anita van Kempen a compliment for jumping into the deep end when taking a seat on the Board from her position as a Council member. He highlights this by handing her a box filled with plants as a symbol of a blossoming Society.

13. Closure
The Chairman thanks everyone for their attendance and he closes the meeting at 23.15 hrs.

Agenda item **6: Financial statements**

Statement of income and expenditure x € 1.000	**Realisation 2020**	**Budget 2021**	**Budget 2022**
BENEFITS			
Membership fees	579	549	581
Phryso	239	235	244
Payment stud service fees	162	5	-
Registration proceeds	442	422	614
Judging proceeds	151	145	183
Proceeds Performance Tests	250	265	265
Proceeds DNA- and genetic tests	112	70	115
Sales promotional materials	85	85	85
Ticket sales & sponsoring	887	46	880
Other benefits	174	112	137
TOTAL BENEFITS	**3,081**	**1,934**	**3,104**
COSTS			
Staff expenses	651	678	678
Depreciations	17	16	16
Housing expenses	68	69	75
Printing costs	297	273	298
Reimbursement officials & volunteers	220	260	292
Inspection- and event expenses	747	165	761
Breeding costs	357	279	315
Accommodation expenses	1145	97	170
Office expenses	99	92	105
Other operating expenses	614	413	477
TOTAL COSTS	**3,215**	**2,342**	**3,187**
Balance of financial benefits and costs	-9	-18	-17
Mutation Studbook certificates	97	67	100
Pre-tax result	**-47**	**-359**	**0**
Corporate taxes	138		
After-tax result	**-185**		

The Long Term Budget 2020-2024 was approved in the autumn of 2019. Since early 2020 we have seen many changes due to Covid 19. Since then the KFPS has gone to great lengths to make sure that most activities could go ahead. With the inspections on location in the Netherlands this has worked out quite well. Unfortunately, the foreign countries again had to deal with a lot more restrictions in 2021. By streaming many performance tests and inspections, members were able to follow these all over the world.

The KFPS is a healthy organisation with excellent equity capital and liquid assets. Part of its own capital has a specific allocation in the shape of allocated reserves in line with the Strategic Policy. The KFPS is a non-profit organisation. Starting point for a normal budget is a zero result.

Agenda item 6a: Budget 2022

The Budget 2022 is based on the approved Long-Term Budget 2019-2024 and adjusted with the benefit of hindsight. To provide some extra information, an impact analysis 2022-2024 listing the drops as a result of the Corona virus was presented in the past year. The drop in turnover in 2020 and 2021 due to restrictions because of the Corona virus is expected to be rectified in 2022. Financial cuts and consequences for service levels therefore appear not to be necessary. The future in these matters is still unsure, but with the help of economic models we have tried to provide a faithful representation.
The primary income of the Society, consisting of membership fees and donations along with the registration-, inspection- and breeding proceeds, are all expected to remain stable or show a small positive growth. The directives concerning Corona presently enable us again to organise a Stallion Inspection and Friesian Proms with audiences. The likelihood of whether or not everything can go ahead as usual is still unsure, but in the event of a successful edition it will show a positive financial result which creates room in the total budget. Although this still remains rather uncertain, the Board believes this room should be used to lower the rates for sales administration costs and the fee for IBOP Tests.
Because of the negative interest rate some financial costs are to be expected, which can potentially be compensated by the expected benefits from investments.
The bottom line is a budgeted zero result.

Agenda item 6b: Establish membership fees and rates 2022

In his Governance investigation from spring 2021, the investigator Mr Mastenbroek has pointed out that the people interviewed considered the Studbook to be 'expensive'. It is recommended to establish a clear benchmark for the Society to find out if the rates and costs of the Society are conform market. This benchmark has been carried out and does not confirm the above impression. A comparison with studbooks of at least similar size as the KFPS shows a total picture of the same total costs for a breeder. However, a few differences between individual rates come to light, but this is counterbalanced by calculating a total picture for an average breeder with one or two foals and a single mare at inspection.
The more significant differences are found in the stallion selection rates, which are relatively cheap with the KFPS, and the IBOP Test which is expensive. Deposit fees and sales administration costs are not common in other studbooks. The deposit money is not a permanent outlay, the amount is reimbursed on return of the paper, but because of the deduction of sales administration costs people do not realise that.
It is recommended to adjust a number of rates. This involves a reduction in the rates for sales administration costs and the IBOP and an increase in the registration fees for Studbook stallions. These adjustments can represent a partial financial compensation and can be further compensated by the surplus revenue of the Stallion Inspection. The adjustments have already been incorporated in the budget.

Sales administration costs

There is an administrative fee to be paid for the transfer of a horse from seller to buyer. Back in the day the KFPS decided to divide these costs between buyer and seller. The buyer benefits from a new registration but the seller too, benefits from a well-functioning Studbook and a good market position. Right now the division between both fees is not equal. The Board's point of view is that this should be equal and does not want to raise fees without due cause. Therefore the Board motions to reduce the sales administration costs to the level of the transfer costs. This is a concrete reduction from € 31.80 to € 19.90 VAT included.

IBOP

The outcome of the benchmark shows that the fee for IBOP Tests is considerably higher than with other studbooks. The Long-Term Strategy states that selection should be done more on functional characteristics. Hence, the Board motions to reduce the fee for IBOP Tests from € 159.00 to € 89.00 VAT included.

Registration fee for Studbook stallion

The comparative benchmark shows that the KFPS stallion selection trajectory is cheaper than with other studbooks. A number of other studbooks operate with a far higher registration fee for studbook stallions and frequently, other inspection rates are also higher. The Board motions to only raise the registration fee for Studbook stallions, since this is largely beneficial for owners, from € 784.90 to € 3,772.50. The € 1,353.40 supplementary payment for the Central Examination which used to be payable after Studbook registration will be cancelled.

Price index

The motion has been submitted to raise price-index figures of household economy by 2.6 % as per September 2021.

Agenda item **7: Year Plan 2022**

Below is a list of subjects for the Year Plan 2022. The Year Plan contains all main subjects relating to all bodies. The list below is not a definitive plan. The list can be supplemented and amended on the basis of input from the regional- and Member Council meetings. In part, these are subjects from Year Plan 2021 that will be further processed in 2022. This is a result of having been understaffed at the office and is also due to long-term research or follow-up research, such as research into hereditary defects. Additionally, part of the recommendations have been added and taken over from the governance investigation that was carried out by Mr Mastenbroek last spring. Part of these recommendations will be finalised in 2021 and most of them feature on the agenda of this autumn 2021 meeting.

1. Boosting breeding
    a. further development and refurbishing of the website
    b. elaborating on and developing the need for sales support
2. Research into training load Central Examination, follow-up research
3. Reintroduction Stallion Competition
4. Research into life expectancy, analysis of results and development of genetic parameters
5. Research into innate disorders: oesophagus, aortic rupture, sweet itch and roaring
6. Fertility: semen Studbook stallions, DFI
7. Breeding values: addition of sport data from competition sports, highest result
8. Development stallion advice programme
9. Inbreeding: monitoring and finetuning
10. Character/personality: insight and stimulation
11. Introduction MijnKFPS App
12. Structure regions and breeding chapters
13. Management and inspections: deployment of staff, structure, climate and sustainability for the future
14. Legal position foreign members
15. Recommendations Governance Investigation:
    a. Culture-improvement programme
    b. Member Council: appointment members of the Member Council and size Member Council
    c. Board: selection procedure Board members
    d. Communication policy: Press- and Social Media policy
    e. Gift policy: overall ban on giving gifts
    f. Internal organisation: education, talent plan Jury body
    g. Internal organisation: cooperation with other studbooks

Agenda item **8: (Re-)appointment members of the Member Council**

The (re-)election of representatives from the regions onto the Member Council will take place during the regional meeting of this autumn. The representatives step down according to the agreed rotation schedule for terms in office. The diagram below lists the names of outgoing members of the Member Council and whether or not they are eligible for re-election. Members who wish to be considered for appointment are required to apply to the chairman of the region in question at the latest one week prior to the relevant meeting.

Tj. Bosma	Stepping down:	Ta it Bihâld

The postal addresses of the breeding chapters can be found on our website (www.kfps.nl) under the link Het KFPS – Adressen Nederlandse fokverenigingen.
New Members of the Member Council will attend the first MC meeting as future members. At the end of the meeting the outgoing Council Member will receive a farewell. From that moment onwards the new Council Member is the representative on the Member Council for the region in question.

Agenda item **9: Re-formulation of KFPS Breeding goal**

Introduction
The breeding goal is the foundation of the breeding- and selection programme of the KFPS. The breeding goal provides an image of the ideal Friesian horse in all its facets. Every 10-15 years the breeding goal is adjusted. The Strategy Plan 2019-2024 states that a newly-formulated breeding goal will be presented to the members. The new formulation was carried out in early 2020 by the Breeding Council, Inspection and Management. Since Corona made it impossible to convene for physical meetings with the members, it was decided to leave it for the time being. However, end 2021 this is possible again and the new breeding goal is now a fact and can be discussed with the members.

On the one hand, the breed characteristics as indicated in the mission of the KFPS are leading for the breeding goal. On the other hand, the best way to position the Friesian horse in the equestrian spectrum is also taken into consideration. Generally speaking, we can claim that in terms of character (reliability) the Friesian breed has a clear advantage over other specialised sport breeding programmes, and compared to (most) other breeds has a clear advantage in terms of aptitude for the sport. The above has led to the strategic choice of lending equal weighing factors to breed characteristics, character (reliability) and sport aptitude within the present breeding goal. Additional defined basic high-priority requirements are health and life expectancy.

KFPS Breeding goal

A functionally-built utility horse possessing the Friesian breed characteristics, that is healthy and vital, has the aptitude to perform in the sport, has a reliable character and good trainability.

The breeding goal is based on the principle that the goals relating to exterior and use may never be at the expense of animal welfare.

Topics
1. Exterior
2. Sport aptitude
3. Character
4. Health and vitality

1 EXTERIOR

When we talk about exterior we are actually referring to two aspects: the breed characteristics that so strongly distinguish the Friesian horse from other equine breeds and the functionality of the exterior.

BREED CHARACTERISTICS

General
A horse with an appearance that radiates class thanks to its impressive front, abundant feathers, black coat colour, elegant outline and elevated paces.

Head
A small, expressive and noble head. The eyes are large and set wide apart. From the side the nose has a slightly dished profile. The jaws are light. The ears are small with the tips slightly pointing at each other.

Neck
The neck and poll are long and together form a light upward arch. Neck conformation is vertical. Seen from behind the crest of the mane is straight.

Feathers
The Friesian horse has abundant hair in mane, tail and socks.

Colour
The Friesian horse has jet black coat colour and ideally, no white markings.

FUNCTIONAL EXTERIOR

A functional exterior serves the intended use and objectives regarding constitution and life span. This is about conformation and legwork of the Friesian horse.

CONFORMATION

General
The Friesian horse has an upward build that is functional and harmonious. The horse is athletic with good muscling. The horse stands in a rectangular model with the proportions 1:1:1 for forehand, mid-section and hindquarters.

Neck
The neck is long, lightly curved and rises high from the chest. The head-neck connection is light. The neck has a flowing connection to the withers.

Shoulder
Shoulder conformation is long and sloping.

Topline
The withers are well-developed and flow smoothly through to the back. The back is strong with a flowing connection to the loins. The loins are strong and wide with a flowing connection to the croup.

Croup
The croup is long and lightly sloping.

Height at withers
The preferred height at withers for Friesian horses is about 165 cm.

LEGWORK

The legs are the ever so important foundation of the horse.

General
Forelegs and hind legs have correct conformation and stance. The joints are clean and dry.

Forelegs
Seen from the front and side, stance of the forelegs is vertical with the feet one hoof width apart. The forearm and cannon are long.

Hind legs
Seen from the side the hind leg shows the optimum angle. The hock joint is dry, hard and well-developed. Seen from behind, stance of the hind legs is parallel.

Fetlocks & Pasterns
Seen from the side, the fetlocks are oval-shaped and dry. The pasterns have satisfactory length and optimum stance.

Feet
The feet are a generous size, well-shaped and a symmetrical pair.

2 SPORT APTITUDE

The Friesian horse is a versatile utility horse. A utility horse must have good movement mechanism, a functional exterior, stamina, willingness to work and a cooperative mentality. For the Friesian breed the aim in terms of sport is to be competitive at elementary/sub top levels and to progress to the highest level.

DISCIPLINES

The breeding programme is directed at the following disciplines in equestrian sports:
1. Dressage under saddle
2. Driving dressage
3. Combined driving
4. In-harness sports

MOVEMENT

In use, be it recreational or in the sport, correct movement of the horse is important. It is beneficial for the horse´s welfare and supports sustainability and performances.

General

The paces are regular and rhythmic. The horses move in an uphill outline with lots of balance and engagement from the hindquarters. They show use of the body and capacity for transitions.

Walk

The walk displays a sound 4-tact rhythm. Seen from front and back the legs are placed forward in a straight line in walk. The hind leg is placed well forward under the body with good activity. The foreleg is placed forward with lots of forward reach.

Trot

The trot displays a sound 2-tact rhythm. The hind leg is placed forward under the body with power and good reach so that the horse develops self-carriage. The hind leg shows good bend in the hock. The foreleg shows knee action and is generously placed forward. The horse moves in an uphill outline showing suppleness, balance and a satisfactory moment of suspension. Seen from the front and back the legs must be placed forward in a straight line.

Canter

The canter displays a sound 3-tact rhythm. The canter shows activity with good reach in front from a carrying hind leg and a forward-reaching foreleg. The canter is uphill with a good moment of suspension, suppleness and balance.

3 CHARACTER

The pleasing character of the Friesian horse is a key breed characteristic that may never be lost. Therefore the KFPS has explicitly included character in its breeding goal. The objectives relating to character are subdivided into two main aspects: reliability and trainability.

RELIABILITY

The Friesian horse is a level-headed, honest horse with easy contact manners.

TRAINABILITY

The Friesian horse is intelligent and has a cooperative attitude and is therefore easy to train. The Friesian horse possesses perseverance and a good work ethos.

4 FERTILITY, HEALTH AND VITALITY

An important aim in the breeding of Friesian horses is selection on health. The Friesian horse must be suitable for use in the sport, recreational purposes and breeding up to an advanced age. The following aspects play an important role:

Minimising hereditary disorders.

Fertility. Stallions need to have an anatomically correct reproductive organ with acceptable semen production. Mares must be easy to impregnate and capable of problem-free foaling.
Vitality. Friesian horses need to enjoy good health. For sustainability the horse needs a healthy skeleton and good-quality muscles, tendons and ligaments.

RELATION BREEDING GOAL CHARACTERISTICS

This breeding goal describes the objectives regarding the individual breeding goal characteristics. However, they also need to be considered in context with each other.

EXTERIOR AND SPORT APTITUDE

The relative weighing between exterior and sport aptitude/movement is set at 40:60. This weighing mainly plays a role in the inspection of Friesian horses.

RELIABILITY IN RELATION TO TRAINABILITY
The trainability of the Friesian horse for the benefit of the sport is constantly being improved, but this may never happen at the expense of its reliability.

Agenda item 10: Stallion Inspection Regulations: integration various regulations stallion selection

I*ntroduction*
Commissioned by the Board of the KFPS, Mr Mastenbroek conducted an independent investigation into the governance of the Society in the spring of 2021. In addition to the confidential report, investigator Mr Mastenbroek has drawn up a number of recommendations. The Board has subdivided these recommendations into nine themes which can be found on the website (message June the 19th) and in the July 2021 issue of Phryso. This agenda item deals with the recommendations in relation to the Stallion Inspection Regulations.
1. Stallion Inspection Regulations: integration with conditions Central Examination
2. Stallion Inspection Regulations: authority of the Board in relation to binding advice
3. Stallion Inspection Regulations: publication of final report within one week after approval
4. Stallion Inspection Regulations: predate testing for roaring

Points 1, 3 and 4 are included in the amended Stallion Inspection Regulations, agenda item 10a. Point 2 is about an amendment in the Byelaws, agenda item 10b.

Agenda item 10a: Amendments Stallion Inspection Regulations

The Stallion Inspection Regulations and the Conditions Central Examination have been merged into new Stallion Inspection Regulations and an Implementation Proviso Stallion Inspection. Along with the Conditions Central Examination, the Regulations Assessment Sport Stallions (Short Test) and the Regulations Progeny Testing have also been incorporated. This has resulted in the creation of a comprehensive Regulation document from the start of the stallion selection through to and including all rules in relation to registration of stallions in the Studbook.

The separate Articles from the 'old' Regulations have been restructured and again subdivided into Regulations that contain all firm criteria and an Implementation Proviso listing all instructions for the benefit of correct execution thereof. Additionally, some textual modifications have been made for consistency purposes and conform correct present-day formulation. Acting on the advice of the Management, the Inspection or the Breeding Council, some changes have been made that require different working procedures or criteria. The Board has adopted these recommendations and submits them for approval by the Member Council. These recommendations are described below.

For each Article of the new Stallion Inspection Regulations, those changes are listed below which are important for correct statutory treatment of the motion:

Article 3 Application and cancellation
Amendment: conform current proceedings; motion to facilitate applications strictly via MyKFPS.
Addition: explanation about how to apply for the various selection elements.
Addition: text cancellation from Inspection Regulations Article 2 and Article 7 stipulation 9.

Article 4 Vaccinations
Amendment: conform current proceedings; motion is to use the same text as in Inspection Regulations Article 7 stipulation 5.

Article 7 First Viewing
Amendment: on the request of stallion keepers and following the advice of the Breeding Council; motion is to drop the criterion of having been born before July the 1st.
Repositioning: the part relating to the implementation has been transferred to the Implementation Proviso.

Article 8 Second Viewing
Amendment: conform proceedings of the previous two years; referral to Presentation Days instead of Central Examination.

Article 9 After- and Re-inspection First Viewing and Second Viewing
Amendment: conform current proceedings; stallions whose applications arrived too late are accepted for the After inspection

Article 10 Second chance
Amendment: due to amended procedures, selection moment during the Presentation Days; open to stallions referred to the

last-held Presentation Days instead of the Central Examination.
Amendment: conform proceedings of the previous two years; referral to Presentation Days instead of Central Examination.
Repositioning: the part relating to the implementation has been transferred to the Implementation Proviso.

Article 11: Presentation Days
Repositioning: addition of new Article from the Conditions Central Examination

Article 12 Central Examination
Repositioning: addition of new Article from the Conditions Central Examination

Article 13 Short Test
Repositioning: addition new Article from Regulations Assessment Sport Stallions
Repositioning: the part relating to the implementation has been transferred to the Implementation Proviso.
Amendment: following advice from Inspection and Breeding Council; bringing the sport criteria into line with the criteria for the Sport Elite predicate.

Article 18 Roaring
Amendment: resulting from recommendation Mastenbroek report; testing shall take place prior to the Central Examination or Short Test and no longer during the Examination. The moment is defined in the Implementation Proviso, on the third Presentation Day or straight after referral to Short Test.

Article 19 Genetic research innate disorders
Repositioning: addition of Short Test from Regulations Assessment Sport Stallions
Repositioning: Procedures have been transferred to Implementation Proviso.

Article 20 Monitoring stallion selection
Amendment: new Article

Article 21 Assessment of stallions registered in the Studbook register
Amendment: conform current proceedings; distinguishing between stallions residing within Europe and outside Europe.

Article 23 Progeny testing
Repositioning: addition of new Article from Regulations Progeny Testing
Repositioning: the part relating to the implementation has been transferred to the Implementation Proviso.

Article 24 Costs
Amendment: new Article due to transferring of statement relating to costs to Implementation Proviso.
Article 25 Other
Amendment: new Article

From the old Stallion Inspection Regulations the following Articles have been transferred to the new Implementation Proviso: Article 10 Determination height at withers, 11 Veterinary testing, 13 Report and 24 Assessment stallion dams.
Advice number 3, addition publication of end report within a week after approval, has also been added to the Implementation Proviso.

Agenda item **10b: Amendment Byelaws**

Concerns the motion following recommendation 2. Stallion Inspection Regulations: authority of the Board in relation to binding advice. In the prevailing regulations for the approval of stallions, the advice from the Stallion Inspection Committee is binding as long as the Regulations have been correctly applied. The recommendation is to give the Board the leeway not to adopt the binding advice from the Stallion Inspection Committee if: a) the Board decides the approval clashes with the common interests of the Society; and b) the Board believes the advice clashes with the breeding goal.
The Board motions to add the phrase: the approval clashes with the common interests to the Regulations. However, the Board thinks the addition of the breeding goal is too far-reaching. The breeding programme describes the strategy about how to realise the formulated breeding goal. The stallion selection is part of the breeding programme, which means the breeding goal is effectively included in the selection process. The breeding goal gives a description of the ideal Friesian horse. Not a single stallion is expected to comply with this ideal for the full 100% so there will always be something that clashes with the ideal picture. It is therefore not appropriate to adopt this advice.

Amendment Article 106. Stallion (Re-)Inspection Committee, responsibilities, composition, appointment
Addition point b) to Stipulation 4:
The recommendations mentioned under 2 of this Article shall be submitted to the Board with a motivation in writing. The recommendations are binding. The only option for the Board to lift the binding character of the recommendation is if: a) the fulfilment of the recommendation clashes with the Stallion Inspection Regulations or any other Regulations; **or b) the Board considers the recommendation for registration to be contrary to the common interests of the Society.**

Agenda item 11: Registration Regulations: amendment standards Sport predicate and Sport Elite predicate

Introduction
The KNHS has changed the classifications of categories for combined driving which implies a change with regard to the Sport predicate. From Sweden the question was raised to assess international combined driving subject to the addition of the requirements for the Sport (Elite) predicate. Acting on the advice of the Breeding Council the Board motions as follows:

Amendment requirements Sport predicate
The classifications for combined driving used to be 1 to 4, but the KNHS has changed this to L-M-Z with classes 3 and 4 both being incorporated in class Z.
New text Article 13 Predicates paragraph 4 point d:
driving (combined): class Z + 10. People have to inform the KFPS as well as the KNHS in advance which Friesian horse(s) they are going to take part with. The horse must have collected at least 10 winning points in class Z from the moment when the horse was registered with the KFPS and KNHS for that purpose.

Amendment requirements Sport Elite predicate
a. add international combined driving as requirement
   New text Article 13 Predicates paragraph 5 point b:
   driving (combined): class International + 10. People have to inform the KFPS as well as the FEI in advance which Friesian horse(s) they are going to take part with. The horse must have collected at least 10 international winning points from the moment when the horse was registered with the KFPS and KNHS for that purpose. The horse in question must have completed all 3 elements (dressage-marathon-obstacle (cone) driving) per valid competition.

b. add: Obtained Sport predicate with combined driving
   New text Article 13 Predicates paragraph 5 point c:
   Sport predicate obtained in three different disciplines
   Explanation: three of the four separate disciplines, dressage, showdriving, driving (dressage) or driving (combined)

Agenda item 12: Motion amendment Inspection Regulations Postponed inspection date for nursing Star- and Crown mares

Introduction
The Year Plan of the KFPS contains a number of initiatives that are aimed at boosting the breeding of Friesian horses. One of these initiatives is to make it more attractive for breeders to take nursing Star- and Crown mares to inspections. Currently, many inspection horses are kept empty and the only nursing mares that are presented at inspections are the ones who foaled early in the season. The reasons being: 1) presenting mares who have a foal at their side is impractical; 2) it is not always easy to get nursing mares in inspection condition.
Star- and Crown mares are customarily only inspected on breeding days. For many nursing mares these breeding days are too early.

Motion regulations text Inspection Regulations
An exception clause for nursing Star- and Crown mares who foaled after the 30th of April will be added to Articles 3, 4 and 5.

Additional Article:
Nursing Star- and Crown mares
In addition to the breeding days, Star- and Crown mares that foaled after April the 30th of the current foaling season get another opportunity for inspection at Studbook inspections that take place after September the 20th of the same year. Nursing Star- and Crown mares who receive a first premium at the Studbook inspection in question, are entitled to take part in an inspection organised for this category of mares where they can be upgraded to Crown- or Model respectively. The Studbook inspections where nursing Star- and Crown mares can be inspected will be specified before the inspection season. The date of the inspection where those mares rewarded with a first premium can be inspected for upgrading, will also be specified.
Substantiation

1) The decision was made not to allow all nursing mares to be eligible for an inspection at a postponed date. The reason being: the preferred policy is to inspect Star- and Crown mares on breeding days. Taking part on breeding days should be no problem for mares who foaled up to and including April the 30th.
2) The demarcation point of April the 30th was chosen on the basis of inspection data from previous years. Data revealed that only 20% of nursing Star- and Crown mares inspected on breeding days actually foaled after April the 30th, whereas on average 50% of foals are born after April the 30th.

Implementation provision for 2022

1) Nursing Star- and Crown mares who foaled after April the 30th are eligible to be presented for premiums at Studbook inspections after September the 20th. Throughout the country there will be three inspection dates between the end of September and early October.
2) Mares who received a first premium at an inspection falling under implementation provision 1, can take part in the inspection that takes place during the final assessment of the Central Examination in Exloo around mid-October.

